WHEN YOUR BETA READERS DISAGREE

Using feedback without handing over the manuscript's steering wheel

Published 31 October 2026 · 4 min read

Read online: fogbottom.com/learn/when-your-beta-readers-disagree/

Reader A loves the ending. Reader B wants a different ending. Reader C enjoyed the book but has become passionately interested in giving a minor character a pet ferret.

You now have feedback. You do not yet have a revision plan.

Beta readers read an unpublished manuscript and describe their experience as readers. They can help you see confusion, impatience, emotional engagement and unmet expectations. They are not automatically developmental editors, copyeditors or proofreaders, whether they read as volunteers or offer a paid service. Clarify what sort of response you are asking for.

Disagreement is useful when you investigate what produced it. It becomes exhausting when you treat every suggestion as an instruction.

FIRST, LET THE COMMENTS SETTLE

Read the responses without revising immediately. Strong praise can make you protective; criticism can make you want to dismantle a perfectly serviceable chapter before breakfast.

Keep each response attached to the manuscript version the reader saw. If one person read before you changed the opening and another read afterwards, they may not be responding to the same problem.

Then separate three things: where the reader reacted, what they experienced and how they propose fixing it. A comment such as "Cut the dinner party; it drags" contains a location, a pacing reaction and a proposed cut. The reaction deserves investigation even if the proposed solution is wrong.

LOOK UNDER THE DISAGREEMENT

Suppose one reader asks for a shorter dinner party and another asks for more argument at dinner. Those requests sound opposed. Read their explanations.

The first says the guests repeat information. The second says the promised confrontation never happens. Both may be responding to a scene that spends too long preparing a conflict and too little time developing it.

You might remove repeated introductions and bring the confrontation forward. That revision answers both underlying concerns without merely splitting the difference.

Ask a neutral follow-up when needed: "Where did your interest drop?" or "What were you expecting after the invitation arrived?" Avoid questions that supply the answer, such as "Was the problem really that I delayed the argument?"

SORT PATTERNS, NOT VOTES

Make a simple feedback record with columns or short headings: passage, reader reaction, suggested solution, your diagnosis and intended action. Look for concerns that recur independently, especially in readers familiar with the kind of book you are writing.

Several reports of confusion at the same point are strong reasons to investigate. They do not prove that the most popular proposed rewrite is correct.

A single comment can also reveal a real issue. One reader may notice an impossible journey everyone else overlooked. Specific evidence matters as well as repetition.

Do not recruit a large group simply to obtain a winning vote. Thoughtful responses you can examine are more useful than a pile of contradictory instructions.

WEIGH FIT WITHOUT DISMISSING DISCOMFORT

Readers bring tastes, expectations and experience. Someone who wants nonstop action may find the reflective pace of a literary family novel frustrating. That does not make either the reader or the novel defective.

Ask whether the response concerns your intended effect. If the book promises an intricate mystery, a reader's difficulty following the clues matters. If your deliberate ambiguity feels merely confusing, intention alone does not solve the problem.

Equally, preserve choices that support the book's purpose. A reader may dislike a selfish protagonist while finding the portrait convincing and compelling. You do not necessarily need to make the protagonist nicer. You may need to decide whether readers can understand the motives and remain engaged.

When feedback concerns a factual issue or a particular lived experience, investigate it carefully. One person's response can identify a question worth researching; it does not settle every possible interpretation or establish universal experience.

CHOOSE AN ACTION WITH A REASON

For each significant concern, decide to revise, investigate further or retain the passage. Write a short reason tied to the manuscript.

"Keep the quiet ending because the central choice is complete and the unanswered question is intentional" is a reason you can test. "Keep it because changing things is upsetting" describes a feeling you may want to acknowledge before deciding.

You can also disagree with the suggested repair while addressing the problem. If a reader wants a villain's explanatory speech, the real need may be clearer evidence earlier. A speech is one route to clarity, not the only one.

Reserve the ferret decision for whether the animal serves this particular book. Enthusiasm is welcome. It need not become a new subplot.

TEST THE CONSEQUENCES

Revise in a saved version and reread the surrounding chapters. Cutting an introduction may remove a clue. Making a motive explicit may destroy uncertainty you intended to preserve.

Where useful, ask a fresh reader a focused question about the revised section. Tell them what they need to assess without giving them a script for praise. You do not need to send every small alteration back through an expanding committee.

Reciprocal reading arrangements can make this process affordable. Agree on scope and timing, thank readers for their work, and do not argue them into reporting a different experience. If a persistent structural problem remains hard to diagnose, a professional assessment may offer a more systematic investigation within your budget and the agreed scope.

The final decisions remain yours. Good feedback helps you understand what the manuscript is doing to readers. Good revision uses that understanding to make the book more fully the one you mean to publish.

From Fogbottom: practical writing guidance and free creative software for independent authors. The original article, with any updates, is at fogbottom.com/learn/when-your-beta-readers-disagree/.